

East of England Training Program

Training Hospital of the Year 2019



Mike Barrett
March 2019



Background

Highlight hospitals training strengths, and weaknesses


Acknowledge hospital with good infrastructure for training

2018

- Peterborough
- Colchester

“What gets measured,
gets managed”

William Thomson, May 1883



QA update

James Wheeler - QA Lead

Sarah Lay - QA Manager

The QA Group is working on an exciting new project to develop regional quality reports in partnership with the SACs. The purpose of the project is to better ensure that that quality data held by the JCST – JCST survey outcomes, SAC Liaison Member programme feedback, analysis of GMC data sources and more – is shared with training programmes to best promote and improve the quality of training. We have been supporting the SACs in Cardiothoracic Surgery and T&O on pilots of varying approaches to the model, and are currently working with the other SACs to identify report formats useful to them. We will discuss progress further at the QA Group meeting on 21 March.

The QA Group is also working to finalise our new quality indicators for training programmes, which we plan to publish in the summer.

Logbook Data

1. Overall Numbers
2. STS / STU / Performed
3. Indicative Procedures

Feb 2018 - Feb 2019



Questions

- Average number of operating sessions/week
 - <2.5 (0) 2.5-3 (2.5) 3-4 (5) 4-4.5 (7.5) >4.5 (10)
- Average number clinics/week?
 - <3 (10) 3-3.5 (7.5) 3.5-4 (5) 4-4.5 (2.5) >4.5 (0)
- Are you given fair opportunity to get to teaching days
 - Always (10) Most time (7.5) 50:50 (5) rarely (2.5) never (0)
- How do you rate the educational value of the trauma meeting or MDT
 - Excellent (10) Good (7.5) Average (5) Poor (2.5) Pointless (0)

Questions

- Are clinics used to provide any educational value?
 - Strongly Agree (10) Agree (7.5) Indifferent (5) Disagree (2.5) Strongly Disagree (0)
- Are your training needs considered in planning theatre casemix volume or type?
 - Strongly Agree (10) Agree (7.5) Indifferent (5) Disagree (2.5) Strongly Disagree (0)
- Does this hospital support research/publications/audit?
 - Strongly Agree (10) Agree (7.5) Indifferent (5) Disagree (2.5) Strongly Disagree (0)
- Would you recommend / pick this hospital again if you could turn back time?
 - Strongly Agree (10) Agree (7.5) Indifferent (5) Disagree (2.5) Strongly Disagree (0)


Responses

48 trainees responded


= 96 x 6 months blocks

Hospital	Responses
Bedford	2
Broomfield	4
Cambridge	16
Colchester	6
Harlow	3
Ipswich	14
Lister	0
Luton	3
Norwich	18
Peterborough	9
Kings Lynn	3
WSH	11


Logbook Data



Operating Sessions




Training Needs Considered in Planning Op List




Yes

No

Clinic Sessions




Clinics - Educational Value?



Yes

No

Ability to Attend Regional Teaching Days




Yes

No


Great

Poor


Local Teaching



Supports Research / Publications / Audit



Would you Recommend or Return?




Yes

No


Indicative Numbers

Each bar on the bar chart represents one trainee for 6 months.


THR




TKR




Arthroscopy




First Ray




Carpal Tunnel Decompression




DHS




Hemiarthroplasty




Ankle ORIF




IM Nail




Tendon Repair



Tension Band Wire




Ex-Fix





And the
winner
is....

Overall Score



Leaderboard

Hospital	2018	2019
Luton	66	57
Colchester	62	66
Bedford	61	49
Cambridge	59	53
WSH	58	47
NNUH	54	52
Queen Elizabeth	54	43
Peterborough	52	58
Ipswich	49	45
Broomfield	48	41
Lister	48	-
Harlow	45	60

Comments

Imperfect

Non responders

- Skew data?
- Likely those who are indifferent

Regionally 52% of operations the trainee is the first surgeon

This falls below the expected >75% national standard set by the SAC